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1. Quick Overview - What is WIMPSim?
Quick Overview - What is WIMPSim?

**Inputs**
- WIMP mass
- Nuclei mass, A, Z

**Outputs**
- Nuclei recoil momentum 3-vector
- Nuclei recoil energy
- Extra information associated with the collision, such as earth phase, WIMP velocity, etc.

 Runs WIMPSim 3 times
WIMPSim is added as a module, so WIMP energy deposits can now be simulated in the detector.

GEANT4 is the standard particle simulation tool for particle interactions. However, GEANT4 does not provide particle interactions for particles not contained in the Standard Model of particle physics. Therefore, if we would like to simulate WIMPs and WIMP interactions, we’ll need to create our own custom module to do so.
Results from Previous Calculations as a Function of Wimp Mass and Detector Type

Source: “Low-Mass Dark Matter Search Results and Radiogenic Backgrounds for the Cryogenic Dark Matter Search” by Mark Pepin; Figure 3.3 [4]
2. Current Results
Simulation ran through a germanium detector from the CDMS Soudan experiment. Collisions are uniformly distributed throughout the detector. Here we show the location of 40k collisions for WIMPs at a mass of 50GeV.
Counts vs Nuclei Type - For izip14, these are all isotopes of Germanium (1e6 hits)
Simulation results; Counts vs Energy

Theory results; Rate vs Energy

These are not directly comparable as the theoretical plot is counts per unit time, per unit mass of detector. Left hand side is a Monte-Carlo simulation of distributions at a large number of counts.

Mark Pepin; Figure 3.3 [4]
These are not directly comparable as the theoretical plot is counts per unit time, per unit mass of detector. Left hand side is a Monte-Carlo simulation of distributions at a large number of counts.
3. Anomalies/Issues with Data
List of Issues

● Form Factor Optimization

● Form Factor is not weighing results when ran through SuperSim

● Center of mass scattering angle is skewed after being weighted by the form factor
The atomic form factor depends on the type of nuclei and recoil energy/momentum. The higher the relative recoil momentum of a particular collision, the less likely it is to occur.

This graph is specifically the form factor for a Germanium isotope - atomic weight \( A = 70 \)

\[
F_{SI}(\Delta p) = 3 \frac{j_1(\Delta p, r_n)}{\Delta pr_n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\Delta ps)^2}
\]
WIMP are generated in WIMPSim with predetermined mass and velocity.

WIMP hits a nucleus and recoil momentum/energy are calculated. The recoil momentum is weighted by the form factor to produce the correct distribution on hits. For example, for a given collision, let's say $F(q) = 0.5$ meaning the probability of that collision relative to other collisions is 0.25. We only keep 25% of collisions with this momentum transfer in order to match analytical calculations.

This is fine for low mass WIMPs, as most collisions are low recoil momentum, but is extremely inefficient for high mass WIMPs, as the calculated recoil momentum goes up significantly on average.
Collision counts per type (1e6 runs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WIMP Mass; Target</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>% Calc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 GeV; Germanium</td>
<td>998365</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 GeV; Germanium</td>
<td>990646</td>
<td>99.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 GeV; Germanium</td>
<td>967952</td>
<td>96.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 GeV; Silicon</td>
<td>987017</td>
<td>98.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 GeV; Xenon</td>
<td>944313</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 GeV; Germanium</td>
<td>733118</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 GeV; Germanium</td>
<td>391052</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Counts vs Probability; Germanium Target

Form Factor Squared (Unitless)
Let's define a coordinate system where all of the WIMP's momentum is in the x-direction. For simplicity's sake, let's also assume the recoil will always occur in the x-y plane. In the CM frame of this coordinate system:

1. Rotate to WIMP coordinate system
2. Boost to CM frame of reference
3. Calculate recoil momentum 3-vector using a given $\theta$ and $\phi$
   - We now have a recoiled nucleus momentum 3-vector in the WIMP's coordinate system, in the center of mass frame of reference.
4. Boost back to the detector frame of reference
5. Inverse the rotation to rotate back into the detector coordinate system

Given a unit-axis of rotation $k$ and an angle of rotation $\theta$, we can rotate an arbitrary vector $v$ using Rodrigues' rotation formula.

$$v_{rot} = v \cos \theta + (k \times v) \sin \theta + k (k \cdot v)(1 - \cos \theta).$$

To rotate back, simply replace $\theta \rightarrow -\theta$.
Scattering angle is completely skewed after being weighted by the atomic form factor. Asymmetry appears to increase with WIMP mass. At minimum it should be symmetric. (Below is $1e6$ collisions)
4. Work to Be Done
WimpSim

- Form Factor is unoptimized for high energy recoils (i.e. high mass WIMPs)
- Collision angle anomaly
- Momentum 3-vector calculation is broken; (potentially not an issue as SuperSim can calculate this result)
- Carefully consider difference between galactic and detector frame of reference, WimpSim may need an altitude variable
- Multithreading
- Major Spaghetti Code (mixture of C and C++ arrays)
- Rewrite as a class with methods instead of functions
- RNG needs to be pulled from SuperSim (so the same seed produces the same results)
- Inelastic Scattering?
- Consistent units need to be used or assumed throughout, such that the various kinematic calculations can all be done without requiring extra factors of c or resulting in nonsensical mixed units.

SuperSim

- Form Factor does not weigh simulation results when ran through SuperSim
- Multiple types of WIMPs (Spin 0, Spin ½, Spin 1) allow for distinguishing between higher order collision terms in WIMP interactions
- Adding hooks for annual modulation studies. i.e. Adding user defined data to root output
- A template UI command class, CDMSWimpSimMessenger was created, but it does not contain relevant commands. It should be populated with appropriate macro commands to set the configurable parameters used by wimp_sim. The generator class will need data members for those parameters to be set, and which it will pass through to wimp_sim.

Analysis

- Compare Monte Carlo with analytic results
- Additional quantitative validations and comparisons
  - (Residual plot?)
Conclusion

WIMPSim is a very lightweight tool currently integrated into SuperSim. Since it does not have any dependencies on external libraries, it can be run independently as an extremely quick tool, making it easy to modify, update, and analyze.

While WIMPSim is a good start, there are many things that need to be updated.

- Develop tools to validate these results are correct.
- Add tools to SuperSim (mainly hooks for additional data to be sent to root).
- Most likely WIMPSim will have to be rewritten from the ground up with multithreading in mind.
How to get involved with WIMPSim

At the time of writing 8/2/2019 information can be found at the following:

Confluence page on WIMPSim: https://confluence.slac.stanford.edu/display/CDMS/WIMPs

The repository can be found in GitBlit on SUF at Simulations/wimp_sim
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Parameters in WimpSim

Scattering angle ($\theta$): $-\pi/2$ to $\pi/2$

Velocity of WIMP in galactic frame: $\text{norm}(0, 220 \times 10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1})$

Earth Phase: $0 - 2\pi$

Nuclear skin thickness ($s$): $0.9 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}$

Radius to half maxima of charge distribution for an atom ($c$): $1.23 \text{A}^{-1/3} - 0.6 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}$

Proton Nuclear Radius ($a$): $0.52 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}$

$\dagger$Drukier et al. argue that $v_0 = V_r$ (the galactic rotation velocity) for a galaxy with a flat rotation curve.

Reported values for $V_r$, are: $243 \pm 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; $222 \pm 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; and $228 \pm 19 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. I used $V_0 = V_r = 230 \text{ km s}^{-1}$
Weights

Helm Form Factor:

\[ F_{SI}(\Delta p) = 3 j_1(\Delta p, r_n) \frac{r_n}{\Delta p} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\Delta p r_n)^2} \]

\[ r_n^2 = c^2 + \frac{7}{3} \pi^2 a^2 - 5 s^2 \]

\[ c \simeq 1.23 A^{1/3} - 0.60 \ \text{fm} \]

\[ s \simeq 0.9 \ \text{fm} \]

\[ a \simeq 0.52 \ \text{fm} \]

WIMP recoil probability weighted by Helm form factor, and if velocity of wimp is greater than the escape velocity the weight is set to 0.

Wimp Escape Velocity: \( v_{esc} = 544 \times 10^3 \ \text{m/s} \)
Theory Portion

What we expect a count rate vs eV distribution to look like:

\[
\frac{dR}{dE_r} = \frac{N_T m_T}{2 m_\chi \mu_T^2} \cdot \left[ \sigma_0^{SI} F_{SI}^2(E_r) + \sigma_0^{SD} F_{SD}^2(E_r) \right] \cdot \rho_0 \int_{v_{\min}}^{v_{\max}} \frac{1}{k} \frac{f(v, v_E)}{v} \, d^3v
\]
Theory Portion

What we expect a count rate vs eV distribution to look like:

\[
\frac{dR}{dE_r} = \frac{N_T m_T}{2 m_\chi \mu_T^2} \cdot \left[ \sigma_0^{\text{SI}} F_{\text{SI}}^2(E_r) + \sigma_0^{\text{SD}} F_{\text{SD}}^2(E_r) \right] \cdot \rho_0 \int_{v_{\text{min}}}^{v_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{k} \frac{f(\nu, \nu_E)}{\nu} \, d^3\nu
\]

Detector\quad \text{Particle and Nuclear}\quad \text{Astro}

Focus on spin independent WIMPs
Why is there a minimum velocity in \( \frac{dR}{dE_r} \)?

Since recoil energy is given by:

\[
\Delta E = \frac{\mu^2}{m_2} V_1^2 (1 - \cos(\theta))
\]

There is only a specific range of wimp energies (and hence velocities) that will produce a given recoil energy.

\[
v_{\text{min}} = \sqrt{\frac{m_T E_r}{2 \mu_T^2}}
\]
Wimp Escape Velocity: $v_{\text{esc}} = 544 \times 10^3 \text{ m/s}$

- WIMPs are modeled to be a non-interacting gas at thermal equilibrium, hence a Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution.
- We use the simplifying assumption that WIMPs are normally distributed throughout the galaxy, with a hard cutoff at the galactic escape velocity.
An elastic collision between a wimp and nucleus is the leading-order contribution to the energy transfer.

The experiment is chilled to a temperature of 40mK, making it safe to model the target nucleus as stationary compared to the WIMP.

Given the two masses, WIMP velocity, and scattering angle, the energy and momentum transferred to the recoiled nucleus are given by:

$$E_{\text{recoil}} = \frac{\mu^2}{m_N} V_1^2 [1 - \cos(\theta)]$$

$$p_{\text{recoil}} = \sqrt{2m_N E_{\text{recoil}}}$$

Where $\mu$ is the reduced mass of the system:

$$\mu = \frac{m_W m_N}{m_W + m_N}$$
Particle Physics: Nuclear Parameters

Nucleus specific parameters such as the effective nuclear radius are needed to produce correct hit distributions.

- A WIMP is more likely to interact with a larger nucleus.
- A weight is added to each collision as a WIMP is more likely to graze a nucleus rather than hit it head on.
Simulation ran through a germanium detector from the CDMS Soudan experiment. Collisions are uniformly distributed throughout the detector. Here we show the location of 40k collisions for WIMPs at a mass of 50GeV.
Squaring the Form Factor gives the probability of a particular collision occurring.